

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,108	12	2/11/2001	Ernest W. Moody	MOODY 27	6801
24258	7590	06/24/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN EDV			MENDIRATTA, VISHU K		
2290 S. JON	IES BLVD.	#100			
LAS VEGAS, NV 89146				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3712	

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/015,108	MOODY ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Vishu K Mendiratta	3712	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO is usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the mid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	2 April 2004.		
′=		his action is non-final.		
′=	Since this application is in condition for allo		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
,	closed in accordance with the practice unde	·		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	ion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		v the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		•	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	***	, ,	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	plication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment		🗖		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	(08) 5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>-</u> ·	

` Application/Control Number: 10/015,108

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams (6132311) in view of Hachquet (6050568).

Williams teaches dealing five partial hands each having two common cards (32,36), dealing and completing each of five hands to five card poker hands (abstract), determining each hand for poker ranks and paying according to pay schedules.

Williams teaches all limitations except that it does not deal four face up cards in each hand.

It is well known in the art of casino games that casinos want to make money as fast as possible. Dealing four face up cards will allow players to wait for only one additional card instead of two or three cards which would slow down the game.

In order to maintain a fast pace, it would have been obvious to spend least time dealing additional cards. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have dealt four face up cards for completing the game quickly.

Williams teaches all limitations except that it does not teach dealing each hand from its own deck of cards.

Hachquet teaches dealing each hand from its own deck of cards (abstract). It will be easily understood that dealing identical cards in multiple hands is not possible without using separate decks for dealing separate hands.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,108

Art Unit: 3712

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have used separate decks of cards for separate hands.

Note that pay schedules as in claims 4,5,9,10,14,15 are determined by gaming houses according to their financial requirements.

Applicant's newly added limitation of each hand having five cards is clearly taught by Williams (see abstract).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 4/2/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is common knowledge that with four cards face up there will be less time required by a player to think to determine whether the player stands a chance of making a winning combination of cards with only one additional card to be dealt. Applicant's method steps are within scope and spirit of Williams' game.

It is also common knowledge that there is no other way to deal identical face up cards without dealing from independent decks of cards.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3712

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K Mendiratta whose telephone number is (703) 306-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Xishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

VKM June 21, 2004